UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN JUSE DIVISION	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR09-00438JL</u>
v. PHI V. NGUYEN , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING
	S.C. § 3142, 3143(a) and Criminal Rule 32.1(a)(b), a detention hearing esented by his attorney <u>Varell Fuller</u> AFPD. The United States was
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	•
	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
	hile on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
•	the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	•
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that r	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
• • •	dictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
	f imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or §	•
	e of a firearm during the commission of a felony.
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
•	s burden of offering clear and convincing evidence that his not a flight risk
or a danger to the community.	EII ED
	h sufficient evidence to meet his burden, and he therefore will be ordered
/ / The defendant has come forward with evic	dence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: 2 9 2011
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Un	ited States. RICHARD W. WIEKING
	lerance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as re-	
	convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMI	ors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	as set out in 16 0.5.c. § 5142(g) and an of the information submitted at
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have	ve waived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
rrections facility separate to the extent practicable from p	persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
ne defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for	or private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
nited States or on the request of an attorney for the Gover	rnment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
fendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of a	an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Sentencing judge - or his succ	essor-may with to revisit issue of detention.
ated:	1
1/2/1)	HOWARD R. LIJOYD

United States Magistrate Judge